

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:	Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846
City of Detroit, Michigan,	Honorable Thomas J. Tucker
Debtor.	Chapter 9

**DEBTOR'S TWENTY-FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN  
CLAIMS**

**(Pension Claims That Have Been Classified and Allowed by the City's Plan)**

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO MODIFY, DISALLOW AND/OR EXPUNGE  
CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. CLAIMANTS RECEIVING THIS  
OBJECTION SHOULD CAREFULLY REVIEW THIS OBJECTION AND  
LOCATE THEIR NAMES AND CLAIMS ON THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED  
TO THIS OBJECTION.**

The Debtor, the City of Detroit (“City”), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this objection (“Objection”) requesting that the Court enter an order, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit 1, disallowing and expunging each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 to the extent that that any such claim asserts a claim that is not a GRS Pension Claim or a PFRS Pension Claim (each as defined below). GRS Pension Claims and PFRS Pension Claims have been classified and allowed by the City’s Eighth Amended Plan for the Adjustment of Debts of the City of Detroit. In support of this Objection, the City respectfully states as follows:

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Article VII, Section A of the Plan (defined below). This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

## **BACKGROUND FACTS**

2. On July 18, 2013 (“Petition Date”), the City filed a petition for relief in this Court, thereby commencing the largest Chapter 9 bankruptcy case in history.

3. On November 21, 2013, this Court issued its *Order, Pursuant to Sections 105, 501, and 503 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 3003(c), Establishing Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim and Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof* [Doc. No. 1782] (“Bar Date Order”), establishing deadlines to file certain proofs of claim in this case. The Bar Date Order set the deadline to file proofs of claim as February 21, 2014 at 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time (“Bar Date”).

4. The Bar Date Order provided in pertinent part that

Entities holding the following claims (to the extent such claims would be subject to the General Bar Date) shall not be required to file proofs of claim in this chapter 9 case on account of such claims:

...

(b) Any claim by present or potential future beneficiaries of the City's two pension systems, the General Retirement Systems and the Police and Fire Retirement System, for pension benefits or unfunded pension liabilities (any such claim, a "Pension Liability Claims").

Bar Date Order ¶8(a), p. 7.

5. The motion seeking the entry of the Bar Date Order explained that proofs of those types of claims did not have to be filed because either the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit ("GRS") or the Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit ("PFRS") is the creditor of, and proper party to assert, Pension Liability Claims Against the City. *Motion of the Debtor, Pursuant to Sections 105, 501 and 503 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 3003(c), for Entry of an Order Establishing Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim and Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof* ¶23(b), p. 13 [Doc. No. 1146].

6. Nevertheless, hundreds of individuals filed proofs of claims that appear to assert claims for pension benefits or unfunded pension liabilities.

7. On December 5, 2013, this Court held that the City was eligible for relief under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code. *See Order for Relief Under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code.* [Doc. No. 1946].

8. On July 9, 2014, this Court entered its *Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 Approving Claim Objection Procedures* [Doc. No. 5872] (“Claims Procedures Order”), allowing the City to file omnibus objections with respect to claims that have been addressed by the Plan or otherwise are not subject to the claims process in this case. (Claim Procedures Order at 2.)

9. On October 22, 2014, the City filed the Eight Amended Plan of the Adjustment of Debts of the City of Detroit (October 22, 2014) [Doc. No. 8045] (“Plan”).

10. On November 12, 2014, this Court entered an Order confirming the Plan [Doc. No. 8272] (“Confirmation Order”).

11. The Plan became effective on December 10, 2014 (“Effective Date”).

12. Pension claims of the PFRS were classified under Class 10 of the Plan, entitled “PFRS Pension Claims.” Plan, Art. II.B.3.q, pp. 38-39.

13. The Plan defined “PFRS Pension Claims” to mean

any Claim (other than an OPEB Claim), whether asserted by current or former employees of the City, theirs heirs or beneficiaries or by the PFRS or any trustee thereof or any other Entity acting on the PFRS’s behalf, against the City or any fund managed by the City (including, but not limited to, the General Fund, the Police and Fire Retirement System Service Corporation fund or the pension funds) based upon,

arising under or related to any agreement, commitment or other obligation, whether evidenced by contract, agreement, rule, regulation, ordinance, statute or law for (a) any pension, disability, or other post-retirement payment or distribution in respect of the employment of such current or former employees or (b) the payment by the PFRS to persons who at any time participated in, were beneficiaries of or accrued post-retirement pension or financial benefits under the PFRS.

Plan, Art. I.A.271, pp. 22-23.

14. Class 10 provided that “PFRS Pension Claims shall be allowed in an aggregate amount equal to the sum of approximately \$1,250,000,000.” Plan, Art. II.B.3.q.i, pp. 38-39.

15. Similarly, pension claims of the GRS were classified under Class 11 of the Plan, entitled “GRS Pension Claims.” Plan, Art. II.B.3.r, pp. 39-42.

16. The Plan defined GRS Pension Claim to mean

any Claim (other than an OPEB Claim), whether asserted by current or former employees of the City or any participants in GRS, their heirs or beneficiaries or by the GRS or any trustee thereof or any other Entity acting on the GRS's behalf, against the City or any fund managed by the City (including, but not limited to, the General Fund, the water fund, the sewage disposal fund, the Detroit General Retirement System Service Corporation fund or the pension funds) based upon, arising under or related to any agreement, commitment or other obligation, whether evidenced by contract, agreement, rule, regulation, ordinance, statute or law for (a) any pension, disability or other post-retirement payment or distribution in respect of the employment of current or former employees or (b) the payment by the GRS to persons who at any time participated in, were beneficiaries of or accrued post-retirement pension or financial benefits under the GRS.

Plan, Art. I.A.212, pp. 17-18.

17. Class 11 provided “GRS Pension Claims shall be allowed in the aggregate amount equal to the sum of approximately \$1,879,000,000.” Plan, Art.II.B.3.r.i., p. 39.

18. Consequently, Classes 10 and 11 govern the treatment of all claims falling within the definitions of GRS Pension Claims and PFRS Pension Claims. The claims in these classes have been allowed in the aggregate amount specified in the Plan and are subject to the treatment in the Plan.

19. Each of the claims listed on Exhibit 2 appears to assert a pension claim that is classified under the Plan as a GRS Pension Claim or a PFRS Pension Claim. Because each of the claims listed on Exhibit 2 appears to be of a type within the definition of GRS Pension Claim or PFRS Pension Claim, it is subsumed within the treatment provided to all such claims in Classes 10 or 11 of the Plan. Accordingly, the claims listed on Exhibit 2 should be disallowed and expunged to the extent that any such claim asserts a claim that is not a GRS Pension Claim or a PFRS Pension Claim.

### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

20. The City files this Objection pursuant to the Bar Date Order, Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code,<sup>1</sup> Rule 3007(c) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy

---

<sup>1</sup> Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code applies to Chapter 9 proceedings pursuant to Section 901(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Procedures (“Bankruptcy Rules”), and the Claims Procedures Order, seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging each of the Claims identified on Exhibit 2 to the extent that any such claim asserts a claim that is not a GRS Pension Claim or a PFRS Pension Claim.

21. To the extent the Court does not expunge one or more of the claims identified on Exhibit 2, the City reserves all of its rights to object, on any basis, to any of the claims identified on Exhibit 2.

### **BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED**

22. The City has reviewed the claims identified on Exhibit 2 and submits that they are GRS Pension Claims or PFRS Pension Claims that are classified, allowed and treated under Classes 10 or 11 of the Plan.

23. The Declaration of Charles Raimi, Deputy Corporation Counsel, (the “Declaration”) explains the process undertaken by the City and confirms that the claims identified on Exhibit 2 are pension claims that have been addressed by the Plan. *See Declaration of Charles Raimi*, attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

24. The Claims Procedures Order and Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c) allow the City to file this Objection as an omnibus objection. Specifically, Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c) authorizes the Court to allow for omnibus objections beyond those circumstances itemized in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d), and the Claims Procedures Order expressly permits the City to file an omnibus objection with respect to

claims that have been addressed by the Plan or otherwise are not subject to the claims process in this case. (Claims Procedures Order at 2.).

25. This Court has the authority to enter an order approving this Objection. Moreover, the streamlined process afforded by an omnibus objection (in lieu of individual objections to each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2) will result in material costs savings that will inure to the benefit of the City. Accordingly, the City believes that the relief sought by this Objection is in the best interests of the City and its creditors.

26. Based upon the foregoing, the City seeks entry of an order, substantially in the form annexed as Exhibit 1, expunging and disallowing each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 to the extent that any such claim asserts a claim that is not a GRS Pension Claim or a PFRS Pension Claim. Accordingly, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c), the Court should grant the relief requested.

### **SEPARATE CONTESTED MATTERS**

27. To the extent that a response is filed regarding any claim listed in this Objection and the City is unable to resolve the response, each one of those claims, and the objection by the City to each one of those claims asserted, should constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. Any order entered by the Court regarding an objection asserted in this Objection should be

deemed a separate order with respect to each such claim and, to the extent necessary under Bankruptcy Rules 7054 and 9014, should constitute a final judgment with respect to such claim, and the Court should expressly determine that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of the final judgment with respect to such claim.

28. The City files this Objection without prejudice to or waiver of its rights pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, and nothing herein is intended to, shall constitute or shall be deemed to constitute the City's consent, pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's interference with (a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the property or revenues of the City or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing property.

### **NOTICE**

29. The City has provided notice of this Objection to each of the claimants identified in Exhibit 2 at the address set forth by each of the claimants on its respective proof of claim, and all other parties who have requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. Given the nature of the relief requested, the City respectfully submits that no other or further notice of this Objection need be given.

WHEREFORE, the City respectfully requests that this Court enter an order, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit 1, granting the relief requested herein and granting the City such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: February 26, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson  
Jonathan S. Green (P33140)  
Marc N. Swanson (P71149)  
MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND  
STONE, P.L.C.  
150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500  
Detroit, Michigan 48226  
Telephone: (313) 496-7591  
Facsimile: (313) 496-8451  
green@millercanfield.com  
swansonm@millercanfield.com

and

Charles N. Raimi (P29746)  
Deputy Corporation Counsel  
City of Detroit Law Department  
2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500  
Coleman A. Young Municipal Center  
Detroit, Michigan 48226  
Telephone: (313) 237-5037  
Facsimile: (313) 224-5505  
raimic@detroitmi.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:  City of Detroit, Michigan,  Debtor.	Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846  Honorable Thomas J. Tucker  Chapter 9
---	---

**NOTICE OF DEBTOR'S TWENTY-FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO  
CERTAIN CLAIMS**

**(Pension Claims That Have Been Classified and Allowed by the City's Plan)**

**PLEASE CAREFULLY REVIEW THIS OBJECTION AND THE  
ATTACHMENTS HERETO TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS  
OBJECTION AFFECTS YOUR CLAIMS(S).**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT** the City of Detroit (“City”) has filed an objection to your claim because it has been classified and allowed by the City’s Plan (“Twenty-Fourth Omnibus Objection”) as a GRS Pension Claim or a PFRS Pension Claim and, therefore, should be disallowed and expunged to the extent that it asserts a claim that is not a GRS Pension Claim or a PFRS Pension Claim.

**YOUR CLAIM MAY BE REDUCED, MODIFIED OR ELIMINATED  
PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. P. 3007(e)(1) AND PRIOR ORDERS OF  
THIS COURT. YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY REVIEW EXHIBIT 2 OF  
THE TWENTY-FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO FIND YOUR  
NAME AND CLAIM. YOU SHOULD READ THESE PAPERS**

**CAREFULLY AND DISCUSS THEM WITH YOUR ATTORNEY, IF YOU HAVE ONE.**

If you do not want the court to eliminate or change your claim, or grant the relief requested in the Twenty-Fourth Omnibus Objection, then on or before **March 23, 2016**, you or your lawyer must:

1. File with the court, at the address below, a written response to the objection. Unless a written response is filed and served by the date stated above, the court may decide that you do not oppose the objection to your claim.

Clerk of the Court  
United States Bankruptcy Court  
211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2100  
Detroit, MI 48226

If you mail your response to the Court for filing, you must mail it early enough so that the Court will **receive** it on or before the date stated above. All attorneys are required to file pleadings electronically.

2. A copy of your response must also be mailed to counsel for the City:

Marc N. Swanson  
Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, PLC  
150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500  
Detroit, MI 48226

3. You must also attend the hearing on the objection scheduled to be held on **March 30, 2016, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1925, 211 W. Fort Street, Detroit, MI 48226** unless your attendance is excused by mutual agreement between yourself and the objector's attorney.

**If you or your attorney does not take these steps, the court may decide that you do not oppose the objection to your claim, in which event the hearing will be cancelled and the objection sustained.**

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson  
Marc N. Swanson (P71149)  
150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500  
Detroit, Michigan 48226  
Telephone: (313) 496-7591  
Facsimile: (313) 496-8451  
[swansonm@millercanfield.com](mailto:swansonm@millercanfield.com)

Dated: February 26, 2016

**EXHIBIT 1: PROPOSED ORDER**

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:	Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846
City of Detroit, Michigan,	Honorable Thomas J. Tucker
Debtor.	Chapter 9

**ORDER GRANTING DEBTOR'S TWENTY-FOURTH OMNIBUS  
OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS**

**(Pension Claims That Have Been Classified and Allowed by the City's Plan)**

Upon review of the twenty-fourth objection to claims (the “Objection”),<sup>2</sup> of the Debtor, City of Detroit, Michigan (the “City”), seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging each of the claims listed on Exhibit 2 to the Objection to the extent that it asserts a claim other than a GRS Pension Claim or a PFRS Pension Claim; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over the Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Article VII of the Plan; and the Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and the Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Objection in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and it appearing that the relief requested in the Objection is in the best interests of the City, and its creditors; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been given as

---

<sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.

provided in the Objection; and it appearing that no other or further notice of the Objection need be given; and a hearing on the Objection having been held before the Court; and any objections or other responses to the Objection having been overruled or withdrawn; and the Court finding that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection and at the hearing establish just cause for the relief granted; and after due deliberation and good and sufficient cause appearing therefore;

**IT IS ORDERED** that:

1. The Objection is sustained.
2. Each of the proofs of claim listed on Exhibit 2 annexed to the Objection is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety pursuant to Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent that any such proof of claim asserts a claim that is not a GRS Pension Claim or a PFRS Pension Claim.
3. The City's claims agent is authorized to update the claims register to reflect the relief granted in this Order.
4. The City is authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Objection.
5. Each claim and the objections by the City to each claim as addressed in the Objection and set forth on Exhibit 2 constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. This Order shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each such claim and, to the extent necessary under

Bankruptcy Rules 7054 and 9014, shall constitute a final judgment with respect to such claim, and the Court expressly determines that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of the final judgment with respect to such claim. Any stay of this Order shall apply only to the contested matter that involves such creditor and shall not act to stay the applicability or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters covered hereby, and further provided that the City shall have the right to submit a separate order with respect to contested matters or claims.

6. The City retains all of its rights to object, on any other basis, to any of the Claims identified on Exhibit 2.

7. Notice of the Objection as provided therein is good and sufficient notice of such objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a) and the local rules of the Court are satisfied by such notice.

8. Nothing in this Order is intended to, shall constitute or shall be deemed to constitute the City's consent, pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's interference with (a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the property or revenues of the City or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing property.

**EXHIBIT 2: CLAIMS**

The ground for objection to each claim listed below is that it is a Pension Claim that has been classified and allowed by the City's Plan. Each of the pages in the omnibus objection is pertinent to the stated ground for objection.

Claim Number	Name	Claim Amount	Nature
3269	Abernathy, Maxine	\$1,153,152.00	General Unsecured
2492	Austin, Juanita Elaine	\$50,000.00	General Unsecured
2493	Austin, Juanita Elaine	\$100,000.00	General Unsecured
2378	Bonds, Patricia	\$960,000.00	General Unsecured
1209	Brabson, Melvin Jr.	\$1,333,000.00	General Unsecured
3182	Braggs, Katherine	\$85,000.00	General Unsecured
1452	Brown, Leanette	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
1311	Brunson, Sandra M	BLANK	General Unsecured
3433	Butler, Nancy L	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
2175	Cartwright, Peggy J	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
3128	Chandler, Roberta	\$1,721,865.60	General Unsecured
2954	Cooper, Rene	\$750,000.00	Secured
1824	Cox, Juanita D	BLANK	General Unsecured
2778	Cunningham, Lisa Racine	\$1,177,000.00	General Unsecured
3374	Davis, Karen	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
1266	Davis, Kimberlee	\$1,250.00	General Unsecured
2856	Gaines, Leslie Renee	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
2452	Gatewood, Pamala	\$2,254,824.00	General Unsecured
3565	Goston, Jimmy L	\$840,000.00	General Unsecured
2012	Grabowski, Nancy	\$720,000.00	General Unsecured
2368	Guess, Rosella	\$275,000.00	General Unsecured
2364	Guess, Rosella	\$4,000.00	General Unsecured
1883	Hardman, Loretta	\$20,431,500.00	General Unsecured
1887	Hardman, Loretta	\$5,365,033.00	General Unsecured
1264	Harton, Raymond	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
1953	Hayes- Johnson, Melissa	\$2,600,000.00	General Unsecured

1164	Holzman, Jeanette Elaine	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
3389	Hudak, Paul	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
1421	Jackson, LaTonya	\$2,049,840.00	General Unsecured
1422	Jackson, LaTonya	\$300.00	General Unsecured
3597	Jackson, Ricky	BLANK	General Unsecured
306	James, Craig E	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
260	Jobe, Jack W	\$187,000.00	General Unsecured
1331	Johnson, Kimberly	\$10,800.00	General Unsecured
3386	Johnson, Mary	\$200,000.00	General Unsecured
1674	Johnson, Rebecca	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
2540	Johnson, Sandra	\$1,024,920.00	General Unsecured
1219	Jones, Bernadine	\$744,797.00	General Unsecured
3483	Jordan, Leon	\$500,000.00	General Unsecured
2426	Jozwiak, Jessica	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
3561	Justus, James Virgil	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
3654	Kaminski, John S	BLANK	General Unsecured
2228	Kempinski, Paul	\$2,466,048.00	General Unsecured
2993	Kennedy, Michael	\$2,582,798.40	General Unsecured
2230	King, Pamela	\$2,354,160.00	General Unsecured
1986	Kosakowski, Michael	\$10,000.00	General Unsecured
2558	Latimer, Marzetta	\$1,268,001.60	General Unsecured
2305	Lee, Vera	\$8,137.46	General Unsecured
2495	Mack, Donell	\$60,000.00	General Unsecured
2417	Maguire, Margarite	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
2436	Mann- Gray, Lakeyo	\$444,537.60	General Unsecured
3375	Manuguerra- Crews, Maria	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
2891	McCullom, Rita C	\$1,050,000.00	General Unsecured
3130	McMillon, Phyllis	\$15,000.00	General Unsecured
1043	Minter, Lee J	BLANK	General Unsecured
2630	Moore, James	\$15,000.00	General Unsecured
1905	Nelson, Pamela Watson	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
1215	Nimmons, Rosalyn	\$439,156.20	General Unsecured

2392	Nixon, Rory Allen	\$1,020,000.00	General Unsecured
2299	Ogunnupe, Adedayo Ade	\$7,604.91	General Unsecured
2302	Ogunnupe, Adedayo Ade	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
3559	Onorati, Judith	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
2240	Owen, Samuel H	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
188	Patel, Dilip K	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
2975	Paulding, Joan	UNLIQUIDATED	Secured
2744	Pennington, LaTonya	\$12,561.00	General Unsecured
1350	Perry, Maya E	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
1834	Phillips, Mark A	\$180,000.00	General Unsecured
265	Polchlopek, Anna E	BLANK	General Unsecured
2419	Richmond, Sylvia	\$1,718.59	General Unsecured
3624	Riley, Linda	\$577,847.10	General Unsecured
1866	Robinson, Marsha R	\$100,000.00	General Unsecured
3553	Silver, Peter	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
2647	Smith, Martin J	\$1,200,000.00	General Unsecured
2448	Smyth, Patrick	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
2561	Stevens, Mary	\$1,229,696.00	General Unsecured
1888	Sudak, James	\$10,955.00	General Unsecured
1668	Surmont, Richard	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
3258	Walker, Rachelle	\$1,148,000.00	General Unsecured
2531	Washington, L.C. Jr.	\$1,558.00	General Unsecured
637	Weber, Michael	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
3108	Westbrook, Michael Anthony Sr	\$975,000.00	General Unsecured
1396	William, Price Jr	\$429,712.40	General Unsecured
2434	Williams, Laura	\$150.00	General Unsecured
2435	Williams, Laura	\$400,439.00	General Unsecured
2446	Williams, Nicolle	\$750,000.00	Secured
1292	Williams, Priscilla Ronita	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured
1458	Wilson, Kelly	UNLIQUIDATED	Secured
2383	Woffold, Maurice	\$60,000.00	General Unsecured

3373	Wofford, Maurice	\$10,000.00	General Unsecured
1456	Wojeiechowski, John	UNLIQUIDATED	General Unsecured

**EXHIBIT 3: DECLARATION OF CHARLES RAIMI**

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:	Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846
City of Detroit, Michigan,	Honorable Thomas J. Tucker
Debtor.	Chapter 9

**DECLARATION OF CHARLES RAIMI IN SUPPORT OF DEBTOR'S  
TWENTY-FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS**

**(Pension Claims That Have Been Classified and Allowed by the City's Plan)**

I, Charles Raimi, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief:

1. I am Deputy Corporation Counsel for the City of Detroit. Unless otherwise stated in this Declaration, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein.

2. The City's ongoing claims reconciliation process involves the collective effort of a team of employees assembled from personnel specifically familiar with the operations and liabilities of the City. This team works together and in conjunction with City's counsel, the City's financial advisor, and the City's claims agent, to review proofs of claim filed against the City.

3. In connection with the preparation of the Twenty-Fourth Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (Pension Claims that have been Classified and

Allowed by the Plan) (the “Twenty-Fourth Omnibus Objection”), the City reviewed the claims at issue, as described on Exhibit 2 of the Twenty-Fourth Omnibus Objection.

4. The City believes that each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 asserts a GRS Pension Claim or a PFRS Pension Claim (each as defined in the Plan). To the extent that any claim identified on Exhibit 2 asserts a claim other than a GRS Pension Claim or a PFRS Pension Claim it should be disallowed and expunged.

[SIGNATURE PAGE FOLLOWS]

5. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated: 2/25, 2016

By: /s/ car  
Charles Raimi

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:  City of Detroit, Michigan,  Debtor.	Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846  Honorable Thomas J. Tucker  Chapter 9
---	---

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on February 26, 2016, he electronically filed the foregoing Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (the "Omnibus Objection") with the Clerk of the Court which sends notice by operation of the court's electronic filing service to all ECF participants registered to receive notice in this case. The City has engaged a Noticing Agent, which will serve the Omnibus Objection on the Claimants listed therein at the address set forth by each of the claimants on its respective proof of claim and on all parties requesting notices listed on the Master Service List, and file a subsequent Proof of Service after it has performed the service.

DATED: February 26, 2016

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson  
Marc N. Swanson  
150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500  
Detroit, Michigan 48226  
Telephone: (313) 496-7591  
Facsimile: (313) 496-8451  
swansonm@millercanfield.com